UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,149	12/02/2003	David K. Swanson	03-0078 (US01)	5299
41696 VISTA IP [ A V	7590 06/14/2007 W GROUP LLP		EXAMINER	
12930 Saratoga Avenue			VRETTAKOS, PETER J	
	Suite D-2 Saratoga, CA 95070			PAPER NUMBER
oututogu, Ott 75070			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/727,149	SWANSON, DAVID K.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	1	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.'S 133)		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	pril 2007.			
	action is non-final.			
)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7-15,18-28,30,31,34-37 and 47-5	<u>0</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		,		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-15, 18-28, 30-31, 34-37 and 47</u>	<u>7-50</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.			
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No		
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	` ' ''			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of t	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachment(s)	П			
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Art Unit: 3739

### **DETAILED ACTION**

RCE filed 4-3-07.

All prior rejections obviated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 7-15, 18-28, 30-31, 34-37 and 47-50 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.
The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "Non-coagulative" is not found or described in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,149

Art Unit: 3739

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 7-15, 18-28, 30-31, 34-37 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bertolero et al. (6,849,075).

Bertolero discloses an apparatus (see figures 2 and 2a) for use with an EP device (combination of 210-220-230-226) with a support structure (226,220) and coagulation element (210), the apparatus comprising:

- a main body (224);
- a plurality of suction region (212);
- a plurality/pairs of stimulation elements (214; col. 14:41-63)
- a plurality/pairs of stimulation energy sensing elements (214; col. 14:41-63)
- a connector (250) corresponding in size and shape to the EP device support structure (220);
  - a suction source (claim 50, element 120); and
  - a coagulation energy source (122).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,149

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Sensors (214) can be emitting/stimulating or receiving/sensing electrodes (see col. 14:41-63) as well as include recording ability (col. 14:44; microchip sensor – the Office asserts this is equivalent to a microprocessor capable of recording).

Regarding claims 9, 20: the suction ports (212) on the left tissue contacting member (102) and the suction ports (212) on the right tissue contacting member (102) surround connectors (250). See figure 2a.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertolero et al. (6,849,075).

The size of sensors (214) in Bertolero makes obvious the Applicant's claims.

Presuming the size of sensors (214) do not anticipate the Applicant's claims, MPEP §

2144.04 IV.A. addresses obviousness in light of size differences between the prior art and the applicant's claims.

#### IV. CHANGES IN SIZE, SHAPE, OR SEQUENCE OF ADDING INGREDIENTS

#### A. Changes in Size/Proportion

In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) (Claims directed to a lumber package "of appreciable size and weight requiring handling by a lift truck" where held unpatentable over prior art lumber packages which could be lifted by hand because limitations relating to the size of the package were not sufficient to patentably distinguish over the prior art.); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976) ("mere scaling up of a prior art process capable of being scaled up, if such were the case, would not establish patentability in a claim to an old process so scaled." 531 F.2d at 1053, 189 USPQ at 148.).

In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos June 6, 2007

ROY D. GÍBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gibson